

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that Claims 34-60 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Applicants submit the amendment to independent Claim 34 obviates the rejections. Applicants submit that all now-pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Applicants maintain the traversal of the rejection of the originally presented claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The Office asserts that the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with the claimed subject matter (see paragraph No. 2 on page 2 of the April 3, 2006 Office Action). Applicants draw the Office's attention to pages 6 and 22-24 of the specification wherein the Applicants provide those of skill in the art with examples of the substituents R², R⁹, R¹⁰, and R¹¹. Applicants further draw the Office's attention to the Examples of the specification on pages 46-47 which provide further guidance to those of skill in the art with regards to making and/or using the claimed invention. Applicants' amendment to independent Claim 34 is not an admission that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is proper, but is instead intended to expedite the prosecution of the present application.


Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 03/06)



Stefan U. Koschmieder, Ph.D.
Registration No. 50,238